

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/025,936

Applicant(s)

BRIESEWITZ ET AL.

Examiner

David M. Naff

Art Unit

1651

All Participants:(1) Field.(2) Naff.**Status of Application:** _

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

See examiner's amendment

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See examiner's amendment. Applicants' representative, Mr. Field, authorized changes of a formal nature to claims to put the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/025934	02/21/01	Brasowitz	Stora-066 Div

EXAMINER
<i>[Signature]</i>

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1651	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Field (3) _____
(2) Koff (4) _____

Date of Interview 11/5/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: claims rejected

Identification of prior art discussed: Antikares applied.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants indicated that they may cancel claims 16 + 33 and claims dependent thereon to overcome confusion under 112 as to being binary or triplicate. Also and claim 29 to relate to produce such intracellular triplicate complex in line 1 after protection and cancel last 2 lines. Additionally discussed amendments to abstract. Examiner indicated these changes would appear to put claims in condition for allowance.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

[Signature]